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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>Curriculum Mapping</th>
<th>Assessment Methods</th>
<th>Assessment Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What do you expect all students who complete the program to know, or be able to do?</strong></td>
<td>Where is the outcome learned/assessed (courses, internships, student teaching, clinical, etc.)?</td>
<td>How do students demonstrate their performance of the program learning outcomes? How does the program measure student performance? Distinguish your direct measures from indirect measures.</td>
<td>How does the program use assessment results to recognize success and &quot;close the loop&quot; to inform additional program improvement? How/when is this data shared, and with whom?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Student can participate in conversations on familiar topics using sentences and series of sentences.
- Student can handle short social interactions in everyday situations by asking and answering a variety of questions.
- Student can say what he or she want to say about himself or herself and his or her everyday life.
- Student can make presentations on a wide variety of familiar topics using connected sentences.

Assessed outcomes are learned in courses.

Direct measure: Oral interview and/or presentation at the end of RUSS 4XXX – Senior Inquiry, Senior Residency or Capstone course

The departmental Assessment Committee will review assessment results and will share them with full-time faculty in the Russian division. Changes to the program will be made in consultation with the Chair.

- Student can write on a wide variety of familiar topics using connected sentences.

Assessed outcomes are learned in courses.

Direct measure: A paper or a final exam or an interview focusing on papers written in RUSS 3XXX and RUSS 4XXX

The departmental Assessment Committee will review assessment results and will share them with full-time
1. It is not recommended to try and assess (in depth) all of the program learning outcomes every semester. It is best practice to plan out when each outcome will be assessed and focus on 1 or 2 each semester/academic year. Describe the responsibilities, timeline, and the process for implementing this assessment plan.

Each division in LLC will assess outcomes annually, beginning in the 2015-2016 academic year.

2. Please explain how these assessment efforts are coordinated with Madrid (courses and/or program)?

We will address this concern in the future, as needed.

3. The program assessment plan should be developed and approved by all faculty in the department. In addition, the program assessment plan should be developed to include student input and external sources (e.g., national standards, advisory boards, employers, alumni, etc.). Describe the process through which your academic unit created this assessment plan. Include the following:

   a. Timeline regarding when or how often this plan will be reviewed and revised. (This could be aligned with program review.)

   The plan will be reviewed every 7 years: the year before the department’s program review.
b. How students were included in the process and/or how student input was gathered and incorporated into the assessment plan.

Students were not involved in the development of this plan.

c. What external sources were consulted in the development of this assessment plan?

ACTFL: American Council on the teaching of foreign languages

The assessment plan for the Department of Languages, Literatures, and Cultures was developed by a Focus Group comprised of members from each of the divisions whose programs will be assessed. It was approved by all faculty in the department.