Stationary patterns in star networks of bistable units: Theory and application to chemical reactions
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We present theoretical and experimental studies on pattern formation with bistable dynamical units coupled in a star network configuration. By applying a localized perturbation to the central or the peripheral elements, we demonstrate the subsequent spreading, pinning, or retraction of the activations; such analysis enables the characterization of the formation of stationary patterns of localized activity. The results are interpreted with a theoretical analysis of a simplified bistable reaction-diffusion model. Weak coupling results in trivial pinned states where the activation cannot propagate. At strong coupling, a uniform state is expected with active or inactive elements at small or large degree networks, respectively. A nontrivial stationary spatial pattern, corresponding to an activation pinning, is predicted to occur at an intermediate number of peripheral elements and at intermediate coupling strengths, where the central activation of the network is pinned, but the peripheral activation propagates toward the center. The results are confirmed in experiments with star networks of bistable electrochemical reactions. The experiments confirm the existence of the stationary spatial patterns and the dependence of coupling strength on the number of peripheral elements for transitions between pinned and retracting or spreading fronts in forced network configurations (where the central or periphery elements are forced to maintain their states).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Complex networks can be formed by chemical reactors [1–6], biological cells [7,8], or engineered units [9–11]. The synergetic action of the dynamics in the nodes and the structure of the links results in a variety of self-organization phenomena including synchronization [12,13], chimera states [14–17], excitation waves [18–20], stationary Turing [21–25], and oscillatory patterns [26,27]. Stationary patterns have also been found in networks of coupled bistable elements [5,6,28].

Bistable behavior is encountered in many dynamical processes in chemical [6,29,30], biological [31], social [32], and engineered systems [33]. In continuous bistable media, traveling fronts, representing waves of transition from one stable state into another can be observed [29,30]. Traveling fronts can become pinned if coupling is sufficiently weak [34–38], forming stationary patterns, in chains and lattices of diffusively coupled bistable elements. Complex tree networks of coupled bistable units, both regular and irregular, exhibit the spreading, retracting or stationary patterns dependent on the coupling strength and the degree distribution of the nodes [5,6,28].

The current work was motivated by our previous study [6], where stationary pattern formation was observed in experiments with chemical bistable units based on a theory developed for tree (or treelike) networks [5,28]. Intuitively, one could expect similar behavior in the star network configuration. However, the previous theories [5,28] depend on a description of dynamics using three layers of units. In a star network, only two layers (center and periphery) are present and thus prediction of patterns with a large number of elements in the nonlinear system provides a challenge.

In this paper, we investigate the formation mechanisms of localized stationary patterns for star networks, where multiple bistable elements are connected to a central hub bistable unit. This connectivity structure is often found in many natural or engineered systems that consist of dynamical elements interacting with each other through a common medium. Examples include computer networks [39] and optically coupled semiconductor lasers [40–44] where synchronization phenomena have been investigated. We present a theory that takes advantage of the simplicity of the star network topology to determine the conditions required for the formation of stationary patterns (without the approximations demanded for the bistable tree networks [5]). Using the theory, we determined whether an initial activation will spread, retreat, or remain stationary for a given number of elements connected to the central unit and the strength of those connections. Finally, we designed an electrochemical star network system with bistable reaction units to confirm the theoretical findings and to demonstrate the existence of the network-topology induced stationary patterns.

II. STAR NETWORKS OF COUPLED BISTABLE UNITS

An illustrative model for a network organized bistable system can be given by the general form,

\[ \dot{u}_i = u_i(h - u_i)(u_i - a) + K \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij}(u_j - u_i), \]

where \( u_i \) denotes the amount of the activator in the \( i \)th network node (\( i = 1, \ldots, N \)), \( 0 < h < a \), and the summation term represents the diffusive coupling between the nodes.

The individual units \( i \) exhibit bistability that occurs through saddle-node bifurcations. Physically, the model describes units in which bistability is generated by cubic autocatalysis [29].
The model can thus be applied to systems where the presence of bistability can be approximated by one-variable dynamics and the effect of other variables can be lumped into the constants of the cubic equation.

In Eq. (1) the parameter $K$ characterizes the coupling strength and $A_{ij}$ are the elements of the network’s adjacency matrix with $A_{ij} = 1$ if nodes $i$ and $j$ are connected and $A_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. We consider only undirected networks where the adjacency matrix is symmetric. The degree of the central node is defined as $k = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij}$, whereas the degree of the peripheral nodes equals to unity. Therefore, the system (1) for the star networks can be formulated as

$$\dot{u}_i = u_i(h - u_i)(u_i - a) + K \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (u_j - u_i), \quad (2a)$$

$$\dot{u}_i = u_i(h - u_i)(u_i - a) + K(u_i - u_i), \quad 2 \leq i \leq k+1, \quad (2b)$$

where Eqs. (2a) and (2b) describe the dynamics of the central and peripheral nodes, respectively. Because of the symmetry in the system all peripheral nodes obey the same equation, thus the index $i$ can be dropped, and the system (2) is reduced into a two-dimensional system of ordinary differential equations,

$$\dot{u} = u(h - u)(u - a) + kK(v - u) := f(u,v;k,K), \quad (3a)$$

$$\dot{v} = v(h - v)(v - a) + K(u - v) := g(u,v;K), \quad (3b)$$

where $u$ and $v$ denote the amount of activator in the central and peripheral nodes, respectively.

In the absence of coupling ($K = 0$), the dynamical system (1) has three fixed points: The stable points $u^* = 0$ and $u^* = a$, and the saddle point $u^* = h$. In the following we will refer to the steady state $u^*$ (or close enough) as the passive state and to $u^* = a$ (or close enough) as the active state (c.f. [5,6]).

III. SPATIOTEMPORAL DYNAMICS DRIVEN BY FIXED CONTROL

We start our analysis by considering the system (3) under two different fixed and initial conditions [36]. First, the peripheral nodes are forced to be in the passive state. Secondly, the central node is forced to be in the active state.

A. Peripheral nodes forced to the passive state

We aim to determine the degree $k$ of the central node and the strength $K$ of the diffusive coupling which give rise to a stationary pattern representing localized activation of the central node while the peripheral nodes are forced to the passive state. When the activation is initiated under the following initial and fixed conditions,

$$u = a \quad (t = 0),$$

$$v = 0 \quad (t \geq 0),$$

the system (3) is reduced into the ordinary differential equation,

$$\dot{u} = u(h - u)(u - a) - kKu, \quad (4)$$

which describes the dynamics of the central node. By solving $f(u,0;k,K) = 0$ we find the fixed points of Eq. (4),

$$u_0 := 0,$$

$$u_{\pm} := \frac{1}{2}(a + h \pm \sqrt{(a - h)^2 - 4Kk}).$$

Figure 1(a) shows that the fixed points $u_+$ and $u_-$ can vary with $k$ and $K$ and furthermore, they can merge and annihilate each other whereas $u_0$ always exists. Then the system has a critical coupling strength $K_{c}^{k}$,

$$K_{c}^{k} := \frac{(a - h)^2}{4k}, \quad (5)$$

for which a saddle-node bifurcation occurs [Fig. 1(b)]. Analyzing the stability of the fixed points one can see that $u_0$ (solid line) is always stable, while $u_+$ (solid curve) and $u_-$ (dashed curve) are stable and unstable, respectively. If $K < K_{c}^{k}$ the trajectory starting at $u = a$ is attracted by the stable fixed point $u_+$, since $h < u_+ < a$. This corresponds to a stationary activation localized on the central node [e.g., Fig. 1(c)]. After the saddle-node bifurcation, for $K > K_{c}^{k}$, every trajectory reaches the only stable fixed point $u_0$. This corresponds to the retraction of the initial activation [e.g., Fig. 1(d)]. In Figs. 1(c)–1(d) circles denote the bistable node and squares the forced nodes. Figure 1(e) shows the saddle-node bifurcation in the $k - K$ parameter plane.

B. Central node forced to the active state

Here we look for stationary patterns when the central node is forced to be in the active state. When the activation is initiated
under the following fixed and initial conditions,
\[ u = a \quad (t \geq 0), \]
\[ v = 0 \quad (t = 0), \]
the system (3) is reduced into the ordinary differential equation,
\[ \dot{v} = v(h-v)(v-a) + K(a-v), \tag{6} \]
which describes the dynamics of the periphery. Following the same analysis as above we find the fixed points of Eq. (6) by solving \( g(a,v;K) = 0 \). This gives
\[ v_\pm := \frac{1}{2}(h \pm \sqrt{h^2 - 4K}). \]
Figure 2(a) shows that the fixed points \( v_+ \) and \( v_- \) can vary with \( K \) and, furthermore, they can merge and annihilate each other, whereas \( v_a \) always exists. Then, we obtain the critical coupling strength \( K_c^f \),
\[ K_c^f := \frac{h^2}{4}, \tag{7} \]
for which a saddle-node bifurcation occurs [Fig. 2(b)]. For every \( k \), if \( K < K_c^f \) there are three fixed points: the stable \( v_a \) (solid line), the unstable \( v_+ \) (dashed curve), and the stable \( v_- \) (solid curve). Hence the trajectory starting at \( v = 0 \) is attracted by the stable fixed point \( v_- \), since \( 0 < v_- < h \). This corresponds to a stationary activation localized on the central node [e.g., Fig. 2(c)]. Otherwise, for \( K > K_c^f \) there is only one (stable) fixed point at \( v = a \). This corresponds to the spreading of the initial activation to the peripheral nodes [e.g., Fig. 2(d)].

Figure 2(e) shows the saddle-node bifurcation in the \( k-K \) parameter plane.

IV. SPATIOTEMPORAL DYNAMICS TRIGGERED BY LOCALIZED INITIAL PERTURBATIONS

The above analysis reveals that under fixed control, an initial activation can be stationary and localized in the central node, can spread to the peripheral nodes bringing the whole network to a fully activated state, or can retreat prompting a passive state. Now we analyze the general case, where any of those steady states can be reached depending on the combination of the coupling strength \( K \), the degree \( k \), and the initial conditions.

The system (3) has three trivial fixed points at \( u = v = 0 \), \( u = v = a \), and \( u = v = h \). The points \((0,0)\) and \((a,a)\) are stable nodes for any (positive) value of \( k \) and \( K \). They represent a star network with all nodes in the passive or in the active state, respectively. The point \((h,h)\) is an unstable node for \( K < (a-h)h/(k+1) \) and becomes a saddle point for \( K > (a-h)h/(k+1) \).

The system (3) also has other fixed points, different from the three trivial ones mentioned before. By solving \( f(u,v;k,K) = 0 \) we can get an expression of \( v \) in terms of \( u \). By substituting this expression into the equation \( g(u,v;K) = 0 \), we obtain the sixth degree polynomial equation,
\[ P(u) := c_0 + c_1u + c_2u^2 + c_3u^3 + c_4u^4 + c_5u^5 + u^6 = 0, \tag{8} \]
whose coefficients in terms of \( h, a, k, \) and \( K \) are shown in Table I.

Assuming that \( u_\ast \) is a real root of \( P(u) \), then \((u_\ast,v_\ast)\) is a fixed point of the system (3), where \( v_\ast \) satisfies the equation \( f(u_\ast,v_\ast;k,K) = 0 \). When \((u_\ast,v_\ast)\) is stable, it corresponds to a steady state that attracts any initial activation found in its basin of attraction. Then, appropriate initial conditions can give rise to stationary patterns localized in the center or the periphery. However, such a stable point can merge with a saddle point and disappear through a saddle-node bifurcation resulting in a transition from those localized patterns to activation spreading or retreating. Unlike the previous cases of fixed control the expression of this bifurcation cannot be obtained analytically; however, it can be numerically determined from the conditions (c.f. [5,6]),
\[ P(u) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{du} P(u) = 0. \tag{9} \]

Figure 3(a) shows \( P(u) \) for \( k = 10 \) and three values of coupling strength \( K \). At \( K_c^{bps} \) (dashed curve) the two inner roots (shown with circle and dot) of \( P(u) \) merge together.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. The coefficients of the polynomial ( P(u) ).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( c_0 ) = ( K^2k^2(K + ah + Kk) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_1 ) = (-Kk(ah + h^2 + 2Kk) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_2 ) = ( 5aKkh + a^2(h^2 + Kk) + Kkh^2 + 3Kk )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_3 ) = (-2(ah + h^2 + 2Kk) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_4 ) = ( a^2 + 4ah + h^2 + 3Kk )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_5 ) = (-2(a+h) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and disappear through a saddle-node bifurcation. These roots correspond to the fixed points of Eq. (3), which are depicted with the same symbols in Fig. 3(b). Any initial condition \((u_0,v_0)\) in the basin of attraction of a stable fixed point will eventually converge to the corresponding fixed point. Therefore, before the bifurcation, the initial center activation \((a,0)\) results in a stationary pattern [thick trajectory in Fig. 3(b)], and the initial periphery activation \((0,a)\) propagates towards the center [thin trajectory in Fig. 3(b)]. After the bifurcation, the center activation retreats to the passive state [thick trajectory in Fig. 3(c)] whereas the periphery activation propagates towards the center [thin trajectory in Fig. 3(c)]. Figures 3(d)–3(f) and 3(g)–3(i) illustrate similar scenarios for \(k = 5\) and \(k = 3\), respectively.

Beyond the saddle-node bifurcations described in Fig. 3, the invariant manifolds of the remaining saddle points change positions by varying \(k\) or \(K\). Therefore, the partition of the phase space into different basins of attraction also changes giving rise either to a fully active or to a fully passive state, depending on the initial conditions. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) illustrate this behavior for varying \(K\) where the relative position of one stable manifold (dashed trajectory) and the trajectories resulting from the initial center (thick trajectory) or periphery (thin trajectory) activation are shown. Figures 4(c)–4(d) present the same scenario for varying \(k\).

The aforementioned saddle-node bifurcations determine the partitions between stationary patterns and activation spreading, or between stationary patterns and activation retreating. The stable invariant manifold of the nearest saddle point to \((a,0)\) (dashed line in Fig. 4) separates activation spreading from retreating. Figure 5(a) summarizes this scenario in the \(k - K\) parameter plane. The curve separating regions B and D corresponds to the bifurcation described in Figs. 3(a)–3(c); it represents the continuation of \(K_{ps}^c\) for varying \(k\). Similarly, regions B and C are separated by the bifurcation (at \(K_{ps}^c\)) shown in the Figs. 3(d)–3(f) whereas regions A and B by the bifurcation (at \(K_{ps}^s\)) shown in Figs. 3(g)–3(i). We also see that the saddle-node bifurcations which enclose region B merge in the cusp point (thick point) which can be defined by the conditions \(P'(a) = (d/du)P(u) = (d^2/du^2)P(u) = 0\). The curve separating the areas C and D after the cusp bifurcation corresponds to the stable manifold of the saddle point that passes from \((a,0)\) as discussed in Fig. 4. Our analysis has also revealed that this curve tends asymptotically to the value \(k = 3\). This means that the center activation cannot retreat in a star network with \(k \leq 3\). We note that the general shape of the bifurcation diagram is very similar to those obtained for a tree networks \([5]\), i.e., the diagram has the same domains and the domains have the same relative position to each other. However, the exact position of the transition boundaries are different. For example, tree networks with \(k = 3\) exhibited retreating activation, which is not possible with the star network. Similarly, at the same nonlinearity parameter, the strongest coupling.
V. EXPERIMENTS WITH COUPLED BISTABLE ELECTROCHEMICAL REACTIONS

We designed an experimental setup with star networks of coupled bistable electrochemical reactions to confirm the aforementioned theoretical findings. Each node in the network is represented by a nickel wire. At sufficiently large potential, the nickel wire undergoes transpassive dissolution [45]. The rate of metal dissolution (or the corresponding potential drop across the electrical double layer driving the reaction) can be used as an experimental variable to characterize the state of the system. During the reaction, the metal ions dissolve through the passivating oxide layer on the surface; the rate of the dissolution can be inhibited by adsorbed bisulfate ions and through change in the composition of the oxide species on the surface [45]. These processes occur at sufficiently large potentials, and thus can slow down the dissolution and create a negative differential resistance (NDR) in the current vs potential diagram. When sufficiently large series resistance is present in the system (e.g., through attached external resistance), the system can exhibit bistability between high current (low electrode potential) and low current (high electrode potential) states at a given circuit potential. The electrodes can be coupled by attaching cross resistance between the peripheral and the (arbitrarily selected) central node [16]. When the electrodes are coupled, current can flow between them in the presence of an electrode potential difference; this cross-current induces coupling by affecting the rate of metal dissolutions of the coupled electrodes.

strength at which pinning states can exist (i.e., at the cusp point) is $K = 0.071$ in contrast with $K = 0.023$ for the star network.

A. Experimental setup

The experiments were performed using an electrochemical cell with a platinum coated titanium rod as the counter-electrode, Hg/Hg$_2$SO$_4$/saturated K$_2$SO$_4$ as the reference electrode, an array of 25 1.00-mm diameter nickel wires as the working electrode. The cell electrolyte was 3 M H$_2$SO$_4$ held at 10°C [Fig. 6(a)]. The electrodes in the array are connected to the potentiostat through an individual resistance ($R_{ind}$) and individual capacitance ($C_{ind}$) in parallel. The individual resistance provides the sufficient ohmic drop for bistability; the $C_{ind}$ serves to prevent the oscillations which can occur due to cell instabilities. The current of each electrode ($i_k$) is measured at 50-Hz data acquisition rate at a constant potential, $V = 1325$ mV (all potentials are given with respect to the reference electrode). The electrode potential ($E_k$) for each electrode is calculated by subtracting the ohmic potential drop on the individual resistance from the applied circuit potential,

$$E_k := V - R_{ind}i_k. \quad (10)$$

When $R_{ind} = 1$ kOhm external resistance is attached to the wires, bistability occurs in a potential range of about 1200 mV $< V < 1500$ mV [Fig. 6(b)]. The experiments were performed at about $V = 1300$ mV. Because the bistability range changes somewhat during the experiments, before each set of recorded data we determined the bistability range and then set the circuit potential to the middle of the lower half of the range. During the experiments, the desired initial conditions (active or passive state of each electrode) were set by superimposing the constant potential ($V$) before the hysteresis for forced active ($R_{ind} = 4$ kOhm) and is after the hysteresis for forced passive ($R_{ind} = 200$ Ohm) elements. Bistable elements occur when the constant potential ($V = 1325$ mV) is within the hysteresis region ($R_{ind} = 1$ kOhm).
to the bistable regime \((V \approx 1300 \text{ mV})\), the electrodes are all passivated and exhibit the low current, high electrode potential state [see Fig. 6(b)]. Because now the circuit potential is above the bistability (hysteresis) region, the system exhibits monostable behavior; we refer to this state as a “forced” passive state. Similarly, when the individual resistance is set to a higher value of \(R_{\text{ind}} = 4 \text{ kOhm}\), at the same potential, the system is below the bistability region, and the electrodes exhibit a high current, low electrode potential state as shown in Fig. 6(b). We refer to this state as a “forced” active state. Because the passivation of nickel occurs at an electrode potential of about 1150 mV, we will use this threshold for classifying the state of the system into active \((E_k < 1150 \text{ mV})\) or passive \((E_k > 1150 \text{ mV})\). We note that the forced active and passive states are approximations of theoretical forced active and passive states where the state of the system is not changed by any perturbation. In the experiments (as it will be shown below) there will be small changes of the forced states due to coupling, relative to the large changes we can observe with bistable units.

Star network topologies are applied between electrodes via charge flow through connections of external coupling resistances \((R_c)\) as shown in Fig. 6(a). The strength of the interactions \((K)\) are given as the inverse of the coupling resistance, i.e., \(K = 1/R_c\).

Whether the node is bistable (circle) or forced (square) to a particular state is dependent on the individual resistance. In the following network diagrams the nodes represent the electrodes and the links the external connections between them.

### B. Experimental results

First, experiments with star networks with forced central or peripheral elements were undertaken. The left panel in Fig. 7(a) shows that a center activation retreats via the coupling to the forced passive periphery. After turning the coupling on, we see a large immediate increase followed by a slow relaxation of the electrode potential of the central unit to the high potential (passive) state. Such transition can be observed only for sufficiently strong coupling; at weak coupling the activations are pinned. We determined the critical coupling strength needed to achieve the transition between the pinned and retreating activations. The right panel in Fig. 7(a) shows that as the degree of the central node was increased, the critical coupling strength needed to achieve retreating fronts decreases. In this panel the points show the experimental results whereas the dashed curve is a least square fit to check the validity of the theoretical prediction [Eq. (5)] that the coupling strength is proportional to the inverse degree, i.e., \(K_c^* \approx 1/k\) with a value for \(a - h = 3.26 \text{ mS}\).

Similar experiments were performed with a forced active center and passive bistable periphery elements. As shown in the left panels of Fig. 7(b), sufficiently strong coupling results in spreading of the activation from the periphery. After the initial activation, it takes about 150–300 s for the periphery elements to reach the active states (small heterogeneity in the size of the bistable regions could contribute to the different transition times of the different electrodes). The right panel of Fig. 7(b) shows that, as predicted by the theory, the critical coupling strength for these activations do not depend on the degree and require a mean value of \(K_c^* = 0.24 \pm 0.03 \text{ mS}\). Therefore, using Eq. (7) we found that \(h = 0.98 \text{ mS}\). By combining the \(a - h = 3.26 \text{ mS}\) value (from the forced passive periphery experiments) with \(h = 0.98 \text{ mS}\) (from the forced active center experiments) the relative distance from the active state to the saddle point can be estimated to \(h/a = 0.23\).

Finally, experiments where all elements, central and peripheral, are bistable were also performed to identify the parameter region where the nontrivial stationary pattern could arise. The coupling strength was set to value \((K = 0.667 \text{ mS})\) larger than that required for the “trivial” pinning state in the forced active center experiments \((K = 0.24 \pm 0.03 \text{ mS})\). At this coupling strength, the experiments with forced passive periphery elements predicted that a four degree star network would be close to the transition between the pinning and retreating regions. The initial activation of the star network with \(k = 4\) shown in Fig. 8(a) spreads and activates the peripheral nodes. Therefore, the general shape of the phase diagram is correct, the pinning state is expected with higher degree network. By increasing the degree to \(k = 6\) and using the same coupling strength this center activation becomes pinned [Fig. 8(b)] and thus a stationary spatial pattern was observed. For an even larger degree, \(k = 7\), the center activation retreats to the passive state [Fig. 8(c)], as predicted by the theory.

The time series in Figs. 8(a)–8(c) also allow the estimation the relative position of the two stable and the one unstable steady states for the uncoupled systems, that correspond to values \(0, a, \) and \(h\), respectively. The two stable states are located at about \(E_a = 1050 \text{ mV}\) (active) and \(E_p = 1200 \text{ mV}\) (passive), respectively. As it is shown in Figs. 8(a)–8(c) with dashed lines, \(E_h = 1150 \text{ mV}\) is a good approximation of the saddle point as this value is close to the pinned states.
Experiments were performed at activation where the center passivates via coupling to the periphery. between the peripheral and central elements, and (c) a retreating (a) a spreading activation where the periphery (thin lines) activates corresponding initial and final states of the star networks are shown for networks of bistable elements. Electrode potential time series and the coupling strength, and (iii) the initial conditions. The theory number of coupled elements to the central unit, (ii) the activation spreading or retreating determined by (i) the nonlinearity. This study thus complements the previously reported findings for the bistable tree networks [5,6] and demonstrates a firm understanding of the generic mechanism where a localized perturbation can trigger the formation of stationary patterns in bistable networks.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have theoretically and experimentally demonstrated that bistable star networks support stationary patterns and activation spreading or retreating determined by (i) the number of coupled elements to the central unit, (ii) the coupling strength, and (iii) the initial conditions. The theory demonstrates that stationary localized patterns are formed by the pinning of an initial activation. The qualitative features of the phase diagram corresponding to the pinned, spreading, and retreating activations, are the same as those observed for the tree networks although the three-layer approximation used for the trees does not directly apply for the star configurations [5]. While the qualitative features of the phase diagram are the same (number of domains and their relative placement), there are important quantitative differences between the tree and the star configurations. For example, at the same nonlinearity parameter, at strong coupling retreating fronts require larger degree networks for star topology than for a tree. Similarly, stationary patterns formed by pinned activation states survive stronger coupling with tree networks than with star networks.

Theoretical predictions were verified by the experiments performed with a complex electrochemical reaction system where multiple bistable elements were connected to a central one. It should be noted that, although our experimental setup indeed represented a star network of bistable and forced elements, it was not accurately described by the one-component reaction-diffusion model used in the theoretical analysis. A realistic quantitative model for such electrochemical elements is not yet available, but it should definitely include many chemical components. It is remarkable, though, that this simple theoretical model (without kinetic information about the reaction and with a greatly simplified coupling scheme) is capable of predicting the spatiotemporal dynamics in a complex chemical reaction occurring in star networks. The choice of this model allows us to thoroughly analyze and detect the influence of the network topology on the emergent dynamics, neglecting the additional complexity that could be induced by a second component or other sources of nonlinearity. This study thus complements the previously reported findings for the bistable tree networks [5,6] and demonstrates a firm understanding of the generic mechanism where a localized perturbation can trigger the formation of stationary patterns in bistable networks.
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