Program-Level Assessment: Annual Report

Program Name (no acronyms): Doctor of Philosophy in History  
Department: Department of History

Degree or Certificate Level: Graduate  
College/School: College of Arts and Science

Date (Month/Year): October 1, 2021  
Assessment Contact: Thomas Finan, Chair

In what year was the data upon which this report is based collected? 2020-21

In what year was the program’s assessment plan most recently reviewed/updated? 2021

1. Student Learning Outcomes
   Which of the program’s student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? (Please list the full, complete learning outcome statements and not just numbers, e.g., Outcomes 1 and 2.)
   
   Assess relevant scholarly literature and scholarly contributions in field of study.
   Apply the major practices and theories or research methods in field of study.

2. Assessment Methods: Artifacts of Student Learning
   Which artifacts of student learning were used to determine if students achieved the outcome(s)? Please describe and identify the course(s) in which these artifacts were collected. Clarify if any such courses were offered a) online, b) at the Madrid campus, or c) at any other off-campus location.
   
   The Dissertation is the artifact used to assess the Doctor of Philosophy.

3. Assessment Methods: Evaluation Process
   What process was used to evaluate the artifacts of student learning, and by whom? Please identify the tool(s) (e.g., a rubric) used in the process and include them in/with this report document (do not just refer to the assessment plan).
   
   The three-person dissertation committee completes the PhD Assessment Rubric. The report is then submitted to the Director of Graduate Studies for review by the Graduate Studies Committee.

4. Data/Results
   What were the results of the assessment of the learning outcome(s)? Please be specific. Does achievement differ by teaching modality (e.g., online vs. face-to-face) or on-ground location (e.g., STL campus, Madrid campus, other off-campus site)?
   
   The dissertations produced in the Department of History in 2020-21 exceeded the criteria of assessment. Over the last two years, several dissertations in the department have received University-level awards for excellence.

5. Findings: Interpretations & Conclusions
   What have you learned from these results? What does the data tell you?
The dissertations produced in the department are substantial bodies of research, and students are able to complete the dissertation within the time to degree set by the department.

6. Closing the Loop: Dissemination and Use of Current Assessment Findings
   A. When and how did your program faculty share and discuss these results and findings from this cycle of assessment?

   The results were shared and discussed with the faculty through October, 2021.

   B. How specifically have you decided to use these findings to improve teaching and learning in your program? For example, perhaps you’ve initiated one or more of the following:

   Changes to the Curriculum or Pedagogies
   - Course content
   - Teaching techniques
   - Improvements in technology
   - Prerequisites
   - Course sequence
   - New courses
   - Deletion of courses
   - Changes in frequency or scheduling of course offerings

   Changes to the Assessment Plan
   - Student learning outcomes
   - Artifacts of student learning
   - Evaluation process
   - Evaluation tools (e.g., rubrics)
   - Data collection methods
   - Frequency of data collection

   Please describe the actions you are taking as a result of these findings.

   The Doctor of Philosophy degree in History was restructured nearly ten years ago to shorten the time to degree for most students to five to six years. Most students have successfully met the five or six year deadline, with a very small number of students requiring additional time due to the pandemic.

   There is a growing concern that some students are not spending enough time in actual coursework before progressing to their doctoral examinations and then dissertation. Presently the required number of credits is 36 hours. The program reduced the number from 60 credit hours. This will require an in-depth study that we will begin in the Spring of 2022, because the primary source of assessment for the Doctor of Philosophy is the dissertation. The evidence from the dissertation artifacts shows that our students are in fact prepared to finish a dissertation, but that their wider understanding of a variety of fields has not been assessed.

   If no changes are being made, please explain why.

7. Closing the Loop: Review of Previous Assessment Findings and Changes
   A. What is at least one change your program has implemented in recent years as a result of assessment data?

   The Department of History has experienced some significant challenges over the last two years when it comes to assessment. The present chair, Thomas Finan, assumed that role in the Summer of 2019 and was immediately faced with the death of a senior colleague in the department. As with other departments the global pandemic posed meeting challenges and basic questions about the delivery of our courses in an online setting over the next two years. But the most significant impact on the assessment process, though, was the death of one of our senior colleagues, Dr. Phil Gavitt, who had been serving as our Assessment Coordinator from 2018. Dr. Gavitt was diagnosed with brain cancer in 2019 and died in 2020, and while he was able to continue working into 2020, we did not realize the extent to which the assessment work was not completed because of the onset of the pandemic. Moving forward the department will perform the annual assessment
process as noted in our materials, with the responsibility of the process falling on our Undergraduate and Graduate Studies Committees as opposed to a single “Assessment Coordinator.”

B. How has this change/have these changes been assessed?

C. What were the findings of the assessment?

D. How do you plan to (continue to) use this information moving forward?

IMPORTANT: Please submit any assessment tools (e.g., rubrics) with this report as separate attachments or copied and pasted into this Word document. Please do not just refer to the assessment plan; the report should serve as a stand-alone document.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>3: Exceeds expectations</th>
<th>2: Achieves outcome</th>
<th>1: Does not achieve outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Assesses relevant literature or scholarly contributions in the field(s) of study.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Applies the major practices, theories, or research methodologies in the field(s) of study.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Applies knowledge from the field(s) of study to address problems in broader contexts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Articulates arguments or explanations to both a disciplinary or professional audience and to a general audience, in both oral and written forms.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Evidences scholarly and/or professional integrity in the field of study.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Demonstrates the ability to complete an in-depth study of at least 200 pages (excluding notes, bibliography, and front-matter), using primary and secondary sources, that makes an original, defensible contribution to historical knowledge.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Please make succinct notes as appropriate, especially for scores of 2 or 1 (e.g., "minor difficulty articulating argument to a general audience").
In the space below, please compose a brief narrative evaluation of the results. Consider answering such questions as the following: What do the results reveal about the effectiveness of our courses and advising in helping students to attain the assessed learning outcomes? What might we do differently? What seems to be working well? What relevant information do the assessment data fail to capture, in your view? How workable/user-friendly did you find the assessment process?

**Answer:**