

Program-Level Assessment: Annual Report

ltures
/right
/ri

In what year was the program's assessment plan most recently reviewed/updated? 2019-2020 [see attachment]

1. Student Learning Outcomes

Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle?

We assessed the 4 categories identified for the Spanish MA by our anterior Program Assessment Plan (2016), which we are phasing out for 2020-21:

- 1. Mastery of 3 areas of Spanish cultures;
- 2. Command of written and oral Spanish;
- 3. Ability to analyze and formulate concepts clearly in Spanish;

4. Evidence of skill in conducting literary research, performing literary analysis, and writing in a convincing and wellorganized fashion.

2. Assessment Methods: Student Artifacts

Which student artifacts were used to determine if students achieved this outcome? Please identify the course(s) in which these artifacts were collected. Clarify if any such courses were offered a) online, b) at the Madrid campus, or c) at any other off-campus location.

Of the 3 M.A. candidates graduating this Academic Year, 2 opted for the Final Written Exam and 1 opted for the Final Written Project. Both options are designed around the 4 outcomes listed in #1.

3. Assessment Methods: Evaluation Process

What process was used to evaluate the student artifacts, and by whom? Please identify the tools(s) (e.g., a rubric) used in the process and include them in/with this report.

4 of the 9 graduate faculty members were directly involved in data collection for assessment in 2019-20, either serving as members of final examination juries, evaluating final projects, or both.

4. Data/Results

What were the results of the assessment of the learning outcomes? Please be specific. Does achievement differ by teaching modality (e.g., online vs. face-to-face) or on-ground location (e.g., STL campus, Madrid campus, other off-campus site)?

The 2 students who opted for the Final Written Exam both passed. The student who opted for the Final Written Project also passed. All 3 candidates passed the required Oral Examination.

5. Findings: Interpretations & Conclusions

What have you learned from these results? What does the data tell you?

This represents an **100%** success rate in 2019-20 of students graduating at the level of competency articulated by our learning outcomes. We present this **100%** success rate in 2019-20 as evidence that our program is meeting its stated outcomes.

6. Closing the Loop: Dissemination and Use of <u>Current</u> Assessment Findings

A. When and how did your program faculty share and discuss these results and findings from this cycle of assessment?

These 3 students' assessments were given and evaluated in Fall 2019. Results/findings were discussed in Spanish Graduate Faculty meetings in Spring 2020.

B. How specifically have you decided to use findings to improve teaching and learning in your program? For example, perhaps you've initiated one or more of the following:

Changes to the Curriculum or Pedagogies	 Course content Teaching techniques Improvements in technology Prerequisites 	 Course sequence New courses Deletion of courses Changes in frequency or scheduling of course offerings
Changes to the Assessment Plan	Student learning outcomesStudent artifacts collectedEvaluation process	 Evaluation tools (e.g., rubrics) Data collection methods Frequency of data collection

Please describe the actions you are taking as a result of the findings.

N/A If no changes are being made, please explain why.

Please see #7 below for changes already underway for 2020-21.

7. Closing the Loop: Review of <u>Previous</u> Assessment Findings and Changes

A. What is at least one change your program has implemented in recent years as a result of assessment data? In August 2018 and January 2020 we conducted student surveys. In August 2018 we collected data from outgoing Spanish M.A. Students (Spring 2018 graduates of the program); in January 2020 we collected data from all students currently taking 5000-level courses in our program. Using information from students, and faculty discussion regarding these results, our learning outcomes have been revised (listed below), and a significant number of undertaught courses were deleted from our STL/Madrid catalog (in coordination with Madrid). We revised the evaluation tools (i.e. rubrics) that we are using for the Written Exam, and phased out the choice between a Final Written Exam or a Final Written Project (along with the required Oral Examination), as our principal assessment methods in the final semester of the Spanish M.A. Going forward our principal assessment methods for all students will be a Final Written Exam (penultimate semester), followed by an Oral Examination (final semester). The Written Project, on the other hand, can be undertaken in lieu of a 3-credit course in the student's penultimate semester.

B. How has this change/have these changes been assessed?

We will be assessing these changes throughout the coming year (2020-21), in which they will take effect with 3-4 students taking Final Written and Oral Examinations.

C. What were the findings of the assessment?

To be determined.

D. How do you plan to (continue to) use this information moving forward?

We will be working under the new Program Outcomes to add courses that are of increasing value to our population according to the data collected through our 2018 and 2020 student surveys. Additionally, we will design and implement a rubric for our Final Oral Examination.

Languages, Literatures & Cultures - Saint Louis University- Spanish M.A. - General Examination Rubric

Return this 2-page signed/dated evaluation to the Graduate Program Director, who will share compiled (anonymous) results with Candidate.

Candidate's Name:	Exam Date:	
Rank each question using the accompanying sca	le, adding comments/examples to each category to su	pport your rating.
A • Content Quality:		
The issue/question is accurately addressed and fully	treated in the response, with a wide body of knowledg	e appropriately covered.
0 - 3	4 - 6	8 - 10
Irrelevant Answer	•Adequate Answer	Relevant Detailed Answer
Persistent Inaccuracies	Accurate Answer	 Accurate, Appropriate References
•Content Not Covered	•Content Adequately Covered	•Wide Range of Knowledge
B • Content Organization:		
	and logical, with ideas presented in an orderly and inte	elligible fashion.
0 - 1	2 - 3	4 - 5
•Writing is Disorganized	•Organization is Inconsistent or Illogical	Clear, Consistent Organization & Logic
Ideas Unclearly Presented	Ideas Inconsistent; At Times Disordered Orderly & Coherent Expression	
C • Written Expression:		
Written expression is smooth and concise, demonstra	ating correct grammar and spelling, appropriate usage	and register.
0 - 1	2 - 3	4 - 5
•Error-Filled Answer	•Some Errors; Poorly Proofed	•Grammar/Usage is Well-Proofed, Correct
 Inappropriate Tone/Register 	•Inconsistent Tone/Register	•Consistent & Appropriate Tone/Register
•Wordy or Rambling	•At Times Smooth & Concise	Smooth & Concise Expression
•Expression Impedes Understanding	•Expression Allows Understanding	•Expression Enhances Understanding

For each of the 3 areas below, tally points to offer a numerical score, and circle your response as: Fail, Pass, or Excellent.

I: Latin American:		SCORE=	 Fail (0-10)	Pass (11-17)	Excellent (18-20)
A • Content Quality:	/ 10	<u>Comments:</u>			
B • Content Organization:	/ 5				
C • Written Expression:	/ 5				

II: Peninsular:		SCORE=	 Fail (0-10)	Pass (11-17)	Excellent (18-20)
A • Content Quality:	/ 10	<u>Comments:</u>			
B • Content Organization:	/ 5				
C • Written Expression:	/ 5				

III: Linguistics:		SCORE=	 Fail (0-10)	Pass (11-17)	Excellent (18-20)
A • Content Quality:	/ 10	<u>Comments:</u>			
B • Content Organization:	/ 5				
C • Written Expression:	/ 5				

Tally points and circle response:	TOTAL SCORE=	Fail (0-30)	Pass (31-51)	Excellent (54-60)
<u>Signature:</u>			Date:	

RESULT FORM OF A MASTER'S DEGREE CANDIDATE ON THE FINAL ORAL DEGREE EXAMINATION

Candidate's Name:	Banner I Major Field: S	
Examination Committee Members:	Chairperson	
	_	
or may mark the boxes below with the member is not present, check the box f available enter the substituting membe	tee should come number of votes for not present, w r name below. A rperson for subm	to a majority and check the appropriate category per category if consensus is not made. If a rite in the member's name and if a substitute is Il committee members should sign and the ballot ission to the Master's Candidacy Specialist,
Passing		
Passing with Distinction		
Unsatisfactory		
We recommend that the master's deg	gree be:	
conferred c	denied	deferred pending another examination
(If the "Unsatisfactory" and "deferred" b and remedial work, if warranted, under "		, indicate a suggested period of further preparation w.)
Comments:		
Date:	Signed:	(Chair signature)
(Member signature)		(Member signature)

SPANISH M.A. RESEARCH PAPER EVALUATION

Student's Name:
Title of Paper:
Research Paper Advisor's Name:
Research Paper Reader's Name:
Examiner Must Comment on View of the Research Paper:

 Accepted As-Is _____
 Accepted with Changes _____
 Not Accepted _____

 Signature of Evaluator: ______

Date: _____

Updated March 2018