

# **Program-Level Assessment: Annual Report**

Program Name (no acronyms): Computer Information Department:

Systems

Degree or Certificate Level: Undergrad, B.S College/School: School for Professional Studies

Date (Month/Year): JUL 2022 Primary Assessment Contact: Joe Lyons

In what year was the data upon which this report is based collected? Academic year 2021-2022

In what year was the program's assessment plan most recently reviewed/updated? 2020

### 1. Student Learning Outcomes

Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? (Please list the actual learning outcome statements and not just numbers, e.g., Outcomes 1 and 2.)

- An ability to analyze a problem, and to identify and define the computing requirements appropriate to its solution. (ABET-1)
- An ability to design, implement, and evaluate a computer-based solution to meet a given set of computing requirements in the context of the discipline. (ABET-2)
- An ability to function effectively on teams to establish goals, plan tasks, meet deadlines, manage risk, and produce deliverables. (ABET-5)

#### 2. Assessment Methods: Artifacts of Student Learning

Which artifacts of student learning were used to determine if students achieved the outcome(s)? Please identify the course(s) in which these artifacts were collected. Clarify if any such courses were offered a) online, b) at the Madrid campus, or c) at any other off-campus location.

#### **Course Name & Artifact Used**

CIS 3150: Workplace Ethics – Final Case Analysis (13 Students met objectives, 1 did not meet objectives)

CIS 1600: Introduction to Programming - final programming project (11 students met objectives, 0 did not meet objectives)

CIS 4960: Final Project (9 students met objectives, 0 did not meet objectives)

CIS 2850: Principles of Data Analysis - StatCrunch activity on correlation

CIS 1300: Information Systems & Technology - Access database (12 Students met objectives, 0 did not meet objective)

CIS 4600: Information Assurance and Security - Lab assignment troubleshoot and evaluate networking issues to deliver a service (44 students met objectives, 1 student did not)

CIS 3250: Principles of Cybersecurity - Final Project, discussions base in case scenarios, assignments, lab deliverables (31 Students met objectives, 1 student did not meet objectives)

\*\*All courses were taught 100% online

THERE ARE NO MADRID STUDNETS IN THE PROGRAM

#### 3. Assessment Methods: Evaluation Process

What process was used to evaluate the artifacts of student learning, and by whom? Please identify the tools(s) (e.g., a rubric) used in the process and include them in/with this report.

Instructors have outcomes set up and added to their artifact rubric vis Canvas outcomes. At the end of their courses, a Canvas Outcomes report was run to collect data about student performance and artifacts used to assess learning outcomes. Data was used to analyze and make changes as needed to assessment of learning outcomes.

### 4. Data/Results

What were the results of the assessment of the learning outcome(s)? Please be specific. Does achievement differ by teaching modality (e.g., online vs. face-to-face) or on-ground location (e.g., STL campus, Madrid campus, other offcampus site)?

Most instructors used programming software and final projects as their assessment tool and felt it was appropriate for the type of students in these classes. Findings showed:

#### ABET 1, ABET 2

- 1) Students could identify several different types of cyber-crimes (hacking, credit card skimmers, phishing emails), and they sited specific steps they could take to avoid becoming a victim (reducing online profile, changing passwords). Research of emerging technologies.
- 2) Case study/real-life Students expressed in their reflections how these artifacts help them strengthen their knowledge and theory.

#### ABET 5:

- 3) For programming classes, the production of a final program using most of the concepts learned during the semester very strongly demonstrates the learning outcome. The students are given a set of requirements which they must decipher and use to design their program. The implementation uses many of the concepts discussed during the semester.
- 6) Most students followed the requirements of final projects and produced programs that output the required data in the requested format.
- \*\*All courses were taught online, so there is no difference in teaching modality to note\*\*

#### 5. Findings: Interpretations & Conclusions

What have you learned from these results? What does the data tell you?

As discussed in section 4, the data has largely supported that the learning outcomes have been supported by the artifacts chosen.

	, ,	ded with opportunities to share quass) they taught the course.	antitative and qualitative feedback at the end of the term			
В.		have you decided to use these find os you've initiated one or more of t	dings to improve teaching and learning in your program? For the following:			
	Changes to the Curriculum or Pedagogies	<ul><li>Course content</li><li>Teaching techniques</li><li>Improvements in technology</li><li>Prerequisites</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Course sequence</li> <li>New courses</li> <li>Deletion of courses</li> <li>Changes in frequency or scheduling of course offerings</li> </ul>			
	Changes to the Assessment Plan	<ul><li>Student learning outcomes</li><li>Artifacts of student learning</li><li>Evaluation process</li></ul>	<ul><li>Evaluation tools (e.g., rubrics)</li><li>Data collection methods</li><li>Frequency of data collection</li></ul>			
	We will be review Add instructor fee	the actions you are taking as a resuring the course offerings and updatedback section to canvas outcomes evel learning outcomes in courses	e frequency as necessary.			
	If no changes ar	e being made, please explain why.				
	What is at least	eview of Previous Assessment Find one change your program has implacts and implementation of embed	lemented in recent years as a result of assessment data?			
В.	How has this ch	ange/have these changes been ass	essed?			
C.		findings of the assessment? rsued embedded certificates				
D.	How do you plan	n to (continue to) use this informat	ion moving forward?			
	IMPORTANT: Please submit any assessment tools (e.g., rubrics) with this report.  Rubrics attached below					

6. Closing the Loop: Dissemination and Use of **Current** Assessment Findings

assessment?

**7.** 

A. When and how did your program faculty share and discuss these results and findings from this cycle of

CIS1600 - Introduction to Programming – Final Term Project

Criteria			Ratings			Pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Runtime Errors	10 pts Excellent Program runs to completicularime errors.	on with	ı no		Expectations e errors itered.	10 pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Input	10 pts Excellent Prompts user for single lewith appropriate data validation. Accepts both uppercase and lowercase, converting the latter to uppercase.		5 pts Needs Improvement Input proceed contains omissions of	cessing minor	O pts Below Expectations Input processing contains major flaws.	10 pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Output	10 pts Excellent All required outputs displayed in a clear, easily readable style.	Outp	Is Improvement ut processing ains minor fla	Be WS.	pts elow Expectations utput processing ontains major flaws.	10 pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Functions	10 pts Excellent Appropriate use of function including the ones provide Correct arguments are used call the functions. Return are used correctly.	ed. ed to	5 pts Needs Improven Minor flav the use of functions.	ws in	O pts Below Expectations Major flaws in the use of functions.	10 pts
						10 pts

This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Word Mask	10 pts Excellent Word to guess is correctly masked with the appropriate number and positions of dashes. Logic is correctly packaged in a function.	No In W	pts eeds nprovement ord mask logic ontains minor aws.	B E: W	pts elow xpectations Vord mask logic ontains major aws.	
Criteria		Rat	ings			Pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Guesses	10 pts	5 pts		0 pt	·s	
	Excellent Keeps track of guesses, warning user if a guess has been repeated.	Needs Improv	vement es logic ns minor	Belo Exp	ow ectations esses logic tains major	10 pts
This criterion is linked to						
a Learning Outcome Rules	20 to >19.0 pts Excellent Follows the rules of the gam the correct action taken at e turn and correct determinati whether the player wins or le	ach on of	19 to >10.0 pt Needs Improvement Rules logi contains mino flaws.	: ic	10 to >0 pts No validation Rules logic contains major flaws.	20 pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome						
Documentation and Readability	20 to >19.0 pts  Excellent  Docstring supplied with student name, date, and a brief description of the program. Docstring provided for each function. Additional comments provided, as needed. Program adheres to style guidelines for readability, including appropriate names for all variables.	Nee Imp Min- flaw docu	o >10.0 pts ds rovement or omissions or s with umentation /or readability.	Bel Exp Ma flav	to >0 pts low pectations ajor omissions or ws with cumentation d/or readability.	20 pts

CIS3000 - System Analysis and Design - Discussion Forum (week 3)

Criteria	Ratings		
nis criterion linked to a earning utcome ontext	O pts Below Expectations Rudimentary and superficial regurgitation of content with no connections and/or completely off topic.	1 pts Proficient Generally competent is summarizing learning, but information is thin and commonplace with limited connections are vague generalities. Appears to be a summary of previous	full of thought, insight, and h analysis. New
nis criterion linked to a earning utcome eadings and esources	O pts Below Expectations Readings and resources are not mentioned	1 pts Proficient Little if any reference is made to readings and other course materials	2 pts Exemplary Readings and other resource materials are used to support comments
This criterion s linked to a learning Outcome Timeliness	O pts Below Expectations Some or all of the required postings are missing	1 pts Proficient Some or all of the required postings are made, but most are at the last minute without allowing for response time	2 pts Exemplary All required postings are made early in the discussion and throughout the discussion

This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Stylistics	<b>0 pts Below Expectati</b> Five or more grammatical and spelling errors		1 pts Proficient Several (3-4) grammatical and/or spelling errors	2 pts Exemplary Few (02) grammatical and/or spelling errors	2 pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Commenting	O pts Below Expectations No comments at all	1 pts Proficient One brief comment to another student's post. "I agree" with little support as to rationale		2 pts Exemplary At least one detailed comment made to address another students' post	2 pts

CIS4960 - Computer Information Systems Capstone Experience - Final Project

Criteria		Rat	ings		Pts
This criterion is inked to a Learning Dutcome Problem	20 pts Exemplary Demonstrates the ability to build a persuasive and insightful problem statement; identifies target audience and/or beneficiaries.	15 pts Proficient Demonstrates adequate knowledge of the subject by building a comprehensive problem statement; identifies target audience and/or beneficiaries.	10 pts Competent Demonstrates some knowledge of the subject by building a problem statement that is superficial; identifies most of the target audience and/or beneficiaries.	5 pts Novice Demonstrates inadequate knowledge of the subject by building a problem that is general and vague; fails to identify target audience.	20 pts

This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Problem Analysis

# 20 pts Exemplary

Demonstrates through analysis of the problem and possible solutions by examining pertinent sources from a variety of perspectives; applies knowledge of project management and computing skills related to the issue at hand; identifies a solution that is appropriate and insightful.

## 15 pts Proficient

Submits a number of solutions that demonstrate an adequate understanding of the problem but fails to address key options; applies adequate knowledge of project management and computing skills related to the issue at hand; identifies a suitable solution that may need to be further developed.

# 10 pts Competent

Presents information from pertinent sources providing a limited number of solutions, perspectives, and methodology; needs to further develop application of project management and computing skills related to the issue at hand; identifies a solution but fails to substantiate choice.

# 5 pts Novice

Proposes a single solution that fails to address the problem statement and demonstrates little understanding of the topic; fails to demonstrate knowledge of project management and computing concepts related to the problem.

Criteria	Ratings				
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Proposed Solution	20 pts Exemplary Identifies an interesting, distinct, and manageable solution based on proper analysis of the problem; demonstrates how the solution addresses a need in the community and/or relates to continuing professional development.	15 pts Proficient identifies a distinct and doable solution that addresses the subject from a personal perspective; reflection needs to be encouraged to address how the solution meets community or personal needs.	10 pts Competent Identifies a solution that is either too narrow or too wide in scope and needs to be further developed; reflection needs to be encouraged to address how the solution meets community or personal needs.	5 pts Novice Fails to identify a topic and needs to further investigate further subject leads/possibilities; reflection needs to be encouraged to address how the solution meets community or personal needs.	20 pts

# CIS4100 - Technology Strategy and Decision Making - Business Case for technology

Criteria	Ratings			Pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome clarity of thesis, objective or purpose	7 pts Full Marks Presents a clear and engaging objective, purpose, or thesis statement that the reader can easily identify; the thesis statement is clearly appropriate for the assignment; the thesis statement appears at an appropriate place in the paper.	4 pts some marks Presents a clear and engaging objective, purpose, or thesis statement that the reader identifies; the thesis is appropriate to the assignment; the thesis statement appears at an inappropriate place, making the reader hunt fo the purpose.	1 pts low The reader identifies a series of sentences that address the purpose and is in an appropriate place, but no one sentence addresses the purpose of the paper.	7 pts
This criterion is linked to a				7 pts

Learning Outcome Support Analysis and Critical Thinking

# 7 pts Full Marks

Uses evidence (e.g., course material/outside sources) and examples fairly and accurately. Incorporates the number/type of sources & examples consistent with audience expectations. Reader can move effortlessly into and out of sections that offer evidence or examples; can easily identify the attribution of the source. When appropriate, examines evidence critically.

# 4 pts some marks

Uses evidence (e.g., course material/outside sources) and examples, though some ambiguity may exist as to what that how evidence or examples fit with the objective or thesis statement. There may be a few sections of the paper in which more evidence or examples were needed. The project may use a few inappropriate sources. When appropriate, examines some of the evidence critically.

## 1 pts low

Evidence or examples are insufficient in number and type to support the objective or thesis. Reader has difficulty throughout paper of understanding how the evidence or examples support the objective or thesis. Even when appropriate, may neglect to question any underlying assumptions or the methodology used to derive conclusions.

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Organization	sections, flow easily and	in a way that sections, and pa naturally; the organization o is clearly structured in a mani	f the paper is	0 pts No Marks	1 pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Completeness and Depth	5 pts Full Marks Fully answers in sufficient depth all the questions the assignment poses.	3 pts some marks Answers all the questions the assignment poses, some in sufficient depth	1 pts low Does not respond coherently to so the questions the assignment pos	ome of ne	5 pts

CIS2700 - Discrete Methods and Models - Week 3 Discussion: Fairness

Criteria	Ratings			Pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Initial Post	2 pts Outstanding Rich in content. Full of thought, insight, and analysis. New ideas and new connections are made.	1 pts Needs Improvement Generally competent in summarizing learning, but information is thin and commonplace with limited connections and vague generalities.	O pts Below Expectations Rudimentary and superficial regurgitation of content with no connections and/or completely off topic.	2 pts
				2 pts

This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Responses	2 pts Outstanding Posts substantive information which advances the discussion.	1 pts Needs Improvement Repeats but does not add to the discussion.	O pts Below Expectations No responses to classmates.	
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Frequency	2 pts Outstanding Responses to classmates posted on multiple days.	1 pts Needs Improvement All responses to classmates posted on the same day.	O pts Below Expectations No participation beyond initial post.	2 pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Timeliness	2 pts Outstanding Initial entry posted by 11:59 PM Wednesday.	1 pts Needs Improvement Initial entry posted by 11:59 PM Friday.	O pts Below Expectations Initial entry posted on the weekend.	2 pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Mechanics	2 pts Outstanding Writter replies contain few grammatical and/or spelling errors. Both written and video replies use clear,	Grammar, spelling, or other	O pts Below Expectations Multiple language errors make the student replies difficult to	2 pts
Criteria	Ratings			Pts
	professional language.		understand.	

CIS4600 - Cyber Threats and Defense - Lab exercise 2

Criteria	Ratings				
This criterion					
Learning Outcome Reasoning and Analysis	3 pts Proficient Reasons support answers with some / an important reasoning, general examination and assessment		2 pts Exemplary Clear and accurate answers; insightful, specific.	1 pts Below Expectations Reasoning and analysis are not as detailed and/or concise as needed.	3 pt
This criterion s linked to a					
Learning	3 pts 2			1 pts	
Outcome Risk Assessment	Proficient Assessment includes analysis of risk from multiple points of view. Considers most or all effects of the potential threat.	Exemplary Analysis addresses the questions clearly, showing appropriate level analysis and synthesis of concepts and uses course vocabulary.		Below Expectations The answers are not as detailed and/or concise as needed; and/ or use limited course vocabulary.	3 p
Γhis criterion					
is linked to a Learning Outcome Impact Assessment	3 pts Proficient Assessment includes analysis of potential impact from multiple points of view. Considers most or all effects of		pts Exemplary Ill facts are accurate Ind relate back to the Inswer. Analysis Includes some but not	1 pts Below Expectations Analysis lacks an overall view of the potential for impact based on the type of threat.	3 p
	the potential threat. all potential impacts.				
	Ratings				Pts

This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Short Term Mitigations	3 pts Proficient Mitigation recommendations contain sufficient detail for technical responses and appropriate responsibility with timelines.	2 pts Exemplary Mitigations contain some technical recommendations but may not be complete. May be missing information about timeline or responsible party.	1 pts Below Expectations Recommendations missing technical factors to mitigate the threat. Timelines and responsibility are not as detailed and/or concise as needed.	3 pts
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Long Term Mitigations	3 to >2.0 pts Proficient Mitigation recommendations contain sufficient detail for technical responses and appropriate responsibility with timelines and budget considerations.	2 to >1.0 pts Exemplary Mitigations contain some technical recommendation but may not be completed May be missing informat about timeline, resources responsible party.	ons the reader; c. organization ion problems;	3 pts