

Program-Level Assessment: Annual Report

Program Name (no acronyms): Cybersecurity Department: SPS Graduate Programs

Degree or Certificate Level: Graduate Certificate College/School: Professional Studies

Date (Month/Year): August 2022 Primary Assessment Contact: Kim Dondanville

In what year was the data upon which this report is based collected? 2022

In what year was the program's assessment plan most recently reviewed/updated? 2022

1. Student Learning Outcomes

Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? (Please list the actual learning outcome statements and not just numbers, e.g., Outcomes 1 and 2.)

SLO 3: Graduates will be able to construct and implement networks and data management systems that protect intellectual property using cybersecurity principles.

SLO 4: Graduates will be able to apply information security principles to analyze, detect and mitigate vulnerabilities and intrusions.

2. Assessment Methods: Artifacts of Student Learning

Which artifacts of student learning were used to determine if students achieved the outcome(s)? Please identify the course(s) in which these artifacts were collected. Clarify if any such courses were offered a) online, b) at the Madrid campus, or c) at any other off-campus location.

Data from individual students and students completing the master's research project within the M.S. (CYBR 5963)

3. Assessment Methods: Evaluation Process

What process was used to evaluate the artifacts of student learning, and by whom? Please identify the tools(s) (e.g., a rubric) used in the process and include them in/with this report.

Assessment was conducted by the program director.

4. Data/Results

What were the results of the assessment of the learning outcome(s)? Please be specific. Does achievement differ by teaching modality (e.g., online vs. face-to-face) or on-ground location (e.g., STL campus, Madrid campus, other off-campus site)?

Results indicated that, in its current form, students were not meeting SLO3.

5. Findings: Interpretations & Conclusions

What have you learned from these results? What does the data tell you?

The results indicate that the program is not truly designed to meet SLO 3. The course content needs to be reevaluated and new courses need to be created.

6. Closing the Loop: Dissemination and Use of <u>Current</u> Assessment Findings

I have discussed these results with multiple faculty within the school and with the inly existing faculty member who teaches in the program.		
·	ly have you decided to use these fin aps you've initiated one or more of	dings to improve teaching and learning in your program? For the following:
Changes to the	Course content	Course sequence
Curriculum or	 Teaching techniques 	New courses
Pedagogies	 Improvements in technology 	Deletion of courses
	Prerequisites	 Changes in frequency or scheduling of course offerings
Changes to the	 Student learning outcomes 	 Evaluation tools (e.g., rubrics)
Assessment Plan	 Artifacts of student learning 	Data collection methods
	 Evaluation process 	 Frequency of data collection
	e the actions you are taking as a res	
A revised curricu	<u> </u>	ign with the NSA/NIST knowledge units. Additionally, we
A revised curricu are in search of	ılar map has been constructed to al	ign with the NSA/NIST knowledge units. Additionally, we design courses in the program.
A revised curricu are in search of	ular map has been constructed to al new adjunct faculty to teach and re	ign with the NSA/NIST knowledge units. Additionally, we design courses in the program.
A revised curriculare in search of of the last of the	ular map has been constructed to al new adjunct faculty to teach and re are being made, please explain why. Review of Previous Assessment Fin	ign with the NSA/NIST knowledge units. Additionally, we design courses in the program.
A revised curriculare in search of a life no changes a life no change no	ular map has been constructed to all new adjunct faculty to teach and restricted to all new adjunct faculty to teach and restricted to all new adjunct faculty to teach and restricted to the second section of the second s	ign with the NSA/NIST knowledge units. Additionally, we design courses in the program. dings and Changes
A revised curriculare in search of a lift no changes a lift no change a lift no changes a lift no change a l	ular map has been constructed to all new adjunct faculty to teach and restricted to all new adjunct faculty to teach and restricted to teach and restricted to teach and redesign to the change your program has impulty were hired to teach and redesignals.	dings and Changes lemented in recent years as a result of assessment data? gn courses in the program. Changes to SLO1 and 2 will be

C. What were the findings of the assessment?

D. How do you plan to (continue to) use this information moving forward?

N.A.

IMPORTANT: Please submit any assessment tools (e.g., rubrics) with this report.