1. **Student Learning Outcomes**

   Which of the program’s student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle?

   The post-baccalaureate certificate in Organizational Leadership (PBC OL) program has the following learning outcome (LO):

   **LO1**: Graduates will be able to apply leadership competencies appropriate for a given situation or context.

   The PBC OL certificate is embedded within the MA Leadership and Organizational Development (MA LOD) program. Our assessment, therefore, is part of that program where we analyze/review the data for all LO’s each year. We select one or two LO’s to focus our efforts. This year we directed our attention to this LO.

2. **Assessment Methods: Student Artifacts**

   Which student artifacts were used to determine if students achieved this outcome? Please identify the course(s) in which these artifacts were collected. Clarify if any such courses were offered a) online, b) at the Madrid campus, or c) at any other off-campus location.

   Our overall assessment protocol integrates data from three sources to evaluate student learning:

   1. Instructors complete a formative assessment through a survey at the end of each course. Through the survey, instructors are asked to describe specific artifacts that are related to each LO that is mapped to that course. Instructors then assess competency in this area, as well as potential opportunities for improvement. It is important to note that this process is meant to gather data that is independent of grades given.
   2. Faculty mentors complete a summative assessment on each student at the conclusion of their capstone. Mentor’s assess the student’s performance for each of the learning outcomes.
   3. A student assessment of learning outcomes is also completed by students at the end of their degree. This indirect measure asks students to rate the extent they learned and developed on each LO. They also indicate what specific competencies they developed and which they feel they need additional development.

   Specific to the PBC we also look closely at the final projects submitted in ORLD 5650: Future-Focused Leadership and ORLD 5250: Leading a Healthy Organization.

   **If we have a Madrid student in the program, then they would be fully admitted into the program.**

3. **Assessment Methods: Evaluation Process**

   What process was used to evaluate the student artifacts, and by whom? Please identify the tools(s) (e.g., a rubric) used in the process and include them in/with this report.
We pulled raw survey data from each of the three surveys administered in Qualtrics. We then tabulated the quantitative data to provide a high-level overview, as well as content analyzed the qualitative data to identify key themes for each LO.

4. **Data/Results**
   What were the results of the assessment of the learning outcomes? Please be specific. Does achievement differ by teaching modality (e.g., online vs. face-to-face) or on-ground location (e.g., STL campus, Madrid campus, other off-campus site)?

Overall we learned that student had favorable perceptions of learning were similar to previous years. On the formative survey, instructors also perceived student achievement of LOs to be like previous years:

- When looking at student achievement across courses, LO1 was the highest rated outcome within the MA LOD program (100% of students indicated they learned to a great or moderate extent). Instructors also indicated that students made gains in the pursuit of this outcome (68% full student achievement).
- When looking at student achievement specifically on the final artifacts in ORLD 5250 and 5650, there was 72% full student achievement and 17% partial achievement. Faculty who taught these courses reflected that students were doing well on this outcome.

5. **Findings: Interpretations & Conclusions**
   What have you learned from these results? What does the data tell you?

Overall, the results tell us that students self-report that they are learning a great extent. Faculty generally agree that a majority of students are demonstrating full achievement of LOs, but there are a percentage of students only partially demonstrating learning. Most student weaknesses are related to student writing abilities and APA knowledge, utilizing sources and instructor feedback, and forming logical arguments – as some faculty noted that these issues detracted from focusing on leadership content.

6. **Closing the Loop: Dissemination and Use of Current Assessment Findings**

   A. When and how did your program faculty share and discuss these results and findings from this cycle of assessment?

   Each year a complete report is distributed among key faculty and administrators associated with the program for feedback. Recommendations and action items are discussed, shared, and implemented.

   B. How specifically have you decided to use findings to improve teaching and learning in your program? For example, perhaps you’ve initiated one or more of the following:

   **Changes to the Curriculum or Pedagogies**
   - Course content
   - Teaching techniques
   - Improvements in technology
   - Prerequisites

   **Changes to the Assessment Plan**
   - Student learning outcomes
   - Student artifacts collected
   - Evaluation process

   - Course sequence
   - New courses
   - Deletion of courses
   - Changes in frequency or scheduling of course offerings
   - Evaluation tools (e.g., rubrics)
   - Data collection methods
   - Frequency of data collection

Please describe the actions you are taking as a result of the findings.
We continually work to improve the curriculum. Regarding writing we have:

- Implemented a series of orientation and graduate preparation courses (i.e., general orientation, writing, stats) to be taken prior to enrolling in the first course. As we cannot require these courses, we are working on different approaches to better direct students into these modules/courses.
- SPS faculty continue to refer students to our online tutorial platform, SmartThinking.
- Built rubrics and provided tutorials and coaching to assist with APA and general writing. Several classes updated resources and changed assignments (e.g., scaffolding, argument maps) to help students build stronger arguments.

Regarding the leadership LO we have:

- Within the last 2 years we have made significant changes to all of the relevant courses (ORLD 5010, ORLD 5250, ORLD 5350, and ORLD 5100). Changes include stronger connection to contemporary conceptualizations of leadership, more reflection on personal leadership and student leadership development plans, and more opportunities for application.

If no changes are being made, please explain why.

7. Closing the Loop: Review of Previous Assessment Findings and Changes

A. What is at least one change your program has implemented in recent years as a result of assessment data?

We continue to “close the loop” on past assessment work. Past analyses of assessment data were used to inform recent curricular changes, some of which were made to directly influence student learning. For example, the data suggests that the program is doing quite well on LO4 (i.e., recent changes are working to improve student learning).

B. How has this change/have these changes been assessed?

It should be noted that the PBC OL is new, and we have limited comparative data. Furthermore, the sample sizes are small and the faculty who rate these each year also vary from year to year. Taken collectively, however, the data tell a story of improvement and is supplemented with qualitative data that provide additional clarification.

C. What were the findings of the assessment?

Formative data suggests that LO1, and the PBC OL cert, is doing well. Given that students are learning the content, however, there is still opportunity to improve upon student writing and argumentation skills. We intend to renew our focus on this.

D. How do you plan to (continue to) use this information moving forward?

We take a holistic approach to assessment. The plan will be reviewed annually to ensure it continues to meet the program’s needs. If a given learning outcome indicated areas in need of focused assessment, especially as it relates to one or more courses within the program or a foundational competency, then the schedule may be altered as needed, but this alteration will be temporary rather than permanent. As SPS programs continually evolve to meet changing market needs, this assessment plan is to be considered dynamic and subject to change as the program evolves and new programs are offered.

IMPORTANT: Please submit any assessment tools and/or revised/updated assessment plans along with this report.