Learning Technologies Advisory Committee | Meeting Summary 11/28/18

Attendees: Jon Baris, Amy Bautz, Mamoun Benhammoun, Robert Cole, Kyle Collins, Angela Dean, Jason Fritts, Matt Goeke, Matt Grawitch, Stacey Harrington, Jay Haugen, Tim Howell, Lisieux Huelman, Mikael Kriz, San Kwon, Debie Lohe, Stephen McMillin, Kyle Mitchel, Steve Rogers, Mary Roman, Cindy Rubbelke

Meeting Summary:

- Approval of minutes:
  - Motion to approve: Steve Rogers; 2nd: Tim Howell; All in favor

- Panopto Pilot
  - (See handout)
  - Angela Dean: Pilot wrapped up, surveyed the faculty members and some students. Very positive feedback. Support level upgrade halfway through improved overall experience. 89% of those who completed survey recommended continuing with Panopto.
  - Was some difficulty integrating Panopto into Bb due to access issues (internal to SLU)
  - Tim Howell: Had a good experience with Panopto; Cindy Rubbelke: Really liked it once she got past the SLU-end issues. Especially liked the non-destructive editing.
  - Downsides: Tim Howell: Could be overwhelming or intimidating to new users due to how different it is from Tegrity.
  - Q: Steve: What's the cost differences in the options? A: About $10,000 between the two support levels. Includes unlimited user to customer service interactions, auto-captioning. Q: Migration? A: Yes, for free. Q: Should we ask before migrate things, or just auto-migrate? A: We can ask but will people hear that ask? We'll need to see what Panopto can do and discuss this further. Q: When would this be implemented if we go with Panopto? A: Contract signed in December, opened in Spring, and Spring would be the transition period. Tegrity will go away in summer.
  - Vote: in favor of recommending Panopto to CADD: 13; Opposed: 0

- LMS Review
  - Debie Lohe: This committee set out to answer two questions
    - What is the right next LMS for SLU?
    - What would it take to do that?
      - Today we only will be talking about what the right next product, not necessarily what will it take. Working group pulled together from LTAC, Distance Ed, and several heavy users from around campus to help gather the data to give to LTAC. There will not be a vote today; a vote will happen via a Google form later this week.
  - Handouts overview (See handouts): Review of attendees to demo sessions, feedback numbers, Pros vs Cons list of each LMS, Needs/Requirements
  - Q: How does grading compare between them? A: Both Canvas and D2L was better than Bb.
  - Jason: Reviewed sessions as someone who doesn't use Bb. Did not like Bb Ultra, was excited by Canvas, was intrigued by D2L
  - Q: How does accessibility work in the app for Canvas? A: Working group will look into it.
  - Jason: Like the fact that Canvas is open source.
Q: How well attended were the demos attended? A: Not very. Sentiment heard from faculty was varied: they feel IT will just make the decision; or the President will just make the decision; or the budget issues meant nothing would happen.

Other concerns heard:
- University won’t have or spend the money to do what needs to be done.
- ITS doesn’t have the capacity to do what needs to be done.

Decisions / Recommendations Made:
- LTAC recommends that University adopt Panopto to replace Tegrity