Learning Technologies Advisory Committee | Meeting Summary 3/20/19

Attendees:
Jon Baris, Amy Bautz, Robert Cole, Kyle Collins, Matt Goeke, Matt Grawitch, Tim Howell, Lisieux Huelman, Mikael Kriz, Mike Lewis, Debie Lohe, Terri Rebman, Steve Rogers, Mary Roman, Cindy Rubbelke

Guests: Carlos Landeau, project manager for Panopto

Meeting Summary:

- February minutes: Motion to approve: Robert Cole, 2nd-Tim Howell; unanimous approval.
- Panopto Implementation
  - Carlos Landeau is the new project manager
  - Discussed Panopto update handout (see attachment), with focus on LTAC questions
  - What more is needed for training? What additional information do the IT folks need to be thinking about?
  - Training (see handout): In addition to proposed training dates, Panopto holds an open lab Zoom meeting (virtual drop-in) every Friday at noon; Q: Could there be some front formal training during Spring finals week? A: Yes, early May is ideal. Q: And/or during the college retreats? A: Yes. Q: Could there be trainers made available in colleges for drop-in times? A: Potentially, if a dean requests it.
  - Migration: (See handout) No great options for getting timely access to migrated content; Q: Do we want to automatically push all migrated content out to faculty, or require them to request it before giving them access to it? A: Autopush all content. [This will require ITS personnel to go in and link content as it becomes available.] Q: Can faculty download their Tegrity content and move it to Panopto themselves? A: Technically yes, but it is a painful and not very effective process. Q: Will faculty be notified as portions of their content become available? A: Not until it's complete. But we can create an email that gives faculty an outline of how the rollout will proceed.
  - Other: (See handout for notes)
    - Next communications for the campus need to include training dates and information about content migration. LTAC members liked having something they could just forward.
- LMS update: Met with CADD and requested approval to move forward with proposed timeline. No objections to Canvas, but some deans want to move faster than the proposed timeline. Conversations on timeline will continue, with potential for smaller cohort to possibly go sooner, but majority of campus to go with proposed timeline of rollout in AY2021. Funding is not available until FY21 at the earliest.
  - Q: Is the Canvas implementation including ARC? This question may be the result of confusion. Are the video tools in Canvas (like video feedback to students) part of ARC or not? ARC is not included in the bid for Canvas, but video libraries were not a part of the feedback from LTAC on needs. The video tools LTAC and Working Group members wanted are part of the toolset in Canvas without ARC, we believe, but ITS will confirm.
- Skype/Fuze replacement: How do we want to go about this exploration? We could explore multiple tools and do a full review or choose one tool (if there's consensus) to explore deeply. Different LTAC members had different views.
Cindy- her faculty want Zoom; Panopto trainers use Zoom for office hours. Steve: Is it possible to just do a one year subscription to test it? A: There are a lot of costs in implementation that makes a one year contract not ideal.

Other options? WebX; Amazon has something not great;

Do we do a FULL options analysis, or just jump to a single tool investigation? Is there something in between? Q: Could look at peer institutions? A: Most are using Zoom.

ITS will gather data about top players in this category and then bring it to this group to further inform the process.

**Decisions / Recommendations Made:** No formal decisions or recommendations made.